1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO 2 3 BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO, INC., 4 Plaintiff, 5 **CIVIL NO. 01-1142 (GAG)** v. 6 LATIN AMERICAN MUSIC CO., INC., et 7 al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO, 11 INC., 12 Plaintiff, **CIVIL NO. 01-1461 (GAG)** 13 v. 14 LATIN AMERICAN MUSIC CO., INC., et 15 al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 **OPINION AND ORDER** 19 The court held a jury trial on this matter between July 19, 2010 and August 6, 2010. The 20 jury returned a verdict in favor of Latin American Music Company, Inc. ("LAMCO") finding Banco 21 Popular de Puerto Rico ("BPPR") liable for copyright infringement with respect to the songs 22 "Fichas Negras" and "Madrigal" and awarded \$42,941.00 to LAMCO for these infringements. (See 23 Docket No. 638). On August 16, 2010, BPPR filed a Rule 50(b) motion requesting the entry of 24

verdict, the court **DENIES** said motion.

25

26

27

28

In its Rule 50(b) motion, BPPR renews its previous Rule 50 motion argument, asserting that

judgment as a matter of law with respect to the compositions "Fichas Negras" and "Madrigal"

(Docket No.641). After considering BPPR's renewed motion for judgment not withstanding the

Civil No. 01-1142(GAG)

the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over these claims as LAMCO has failed to establish the requisite elements of a copyright infringement claim. The court previously denied this motion following the completion of BPPR's case, recognizing that the resolution of these legal issues was dependent upon factual determinations about the credibility of the witnesses and weight of the evidence. The court once again **DENIES** the motion on these grounds.

Furthermore, the affirmative defenses of implied licenses, and copyright misuse were considered by the jury when reaching its final verdict. The jury's verdict encompassed the factual determinations it reached after listening to the testimonies of the witnesses presented by both parties. As both parties presented sufficient evidence to create triable issues of fact regarding these defenses, such factual determinations were correctly decided by the jury. Therefore, the court **DENIES** BPPR's motion for judgment not withstanding the verdict.

SO ORDERED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 18th day of July, 2010.

s/ Gustavo A. Gelpí

GUSTAVO A. GELPI United States District Judge